

Bauer, S.J. York University, Downsview, Canada. Sex differences in pupation site choice in *Drosophila melanogaster*.

northern Toronto area and were tested for pupation height. Significant ($F=19.55$, $p<0.0001$) between line variation was found for this trait. From the 15 isofemale lines, two were chosen for further study: a low line and a high line. To determine whether there were sex differences for where larvae pupated, seven "strains" were tested: the two extreme lines, their two reciprocal crosses and backcrosses and the F_2 generation. A quantitative genetic analysis of pupation height in the isofemale lines will be published elsewhere. The present study reports a significant influence of sex on pupation height.

The method used was modified from Sokolowski and Hansell (1983). For each strain tested, 10 vials (11x2cm) containing 5.0 ml of a standard dead yeast-agar medium were each seeded with 10 closely-aged (± 1.75 h) first-instar larvae. Care was taken to spill no medium on the walls of the vials. The vials were stoppered with standard-sized cotton balls and incubated at $24\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ under conditions of 60% humidity with a light cycle of 12 hours light followed by 12 hours dark with the lights turned on at 8:00 a.m. Once the larvae had pupated and were close to emerging, the distance from the medium to a point between the two spiracles of each pupa was measured. The sex of each pupa was also recorded.

Table 1. Analysis of variance of pupation height for males and females of each strain of *D.melanogaster*.

Source of Variation	D.F.	F	P
Strain	6	17.83	0.0001
Sex	1	23.64	0.0001
Strain x Sex	6	0.62	0.7173
Residual	466		

the sexes with females being somewhat heavier than males (Bakker 1959). Differences in pupation height between males and females may also have a genetic basis. When these trends are not taken into consideration, sex differences in pupation site choice in populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* could confound experimental results. For example, while selecting for an increase in pupation height, the sex ratio at each generation of selection will be heavily biased towards males.

References: Alpatov, W. 1930, Biol.Bull. Wood's Hole 58:85-103; Bakker, K. 1959, Ent. Exp. & Appl. 2:171-186; Sokal, R. & F.Rohlf 1969, Biometry, W.H.Freeman & Co., San Francisco; Sokolowski, M. & R.Hansell 1983, Behav.Genet. 13:267-280.

Belo, M. and D.A.Banzatto. Campus de Jaboticabal-UNESP, SP, Brasil. Association between *Drosophila* and yeasts. III. Attraction of males and females of *D.ananassae*.

frequencies of flies attracted for the yeast species in the attraction-box (Belo & Lacava 1980 & 1982).

The statistical analysis did not show any differences between males and females in their preferences for species of yeast, or for the interaction sex and yeasts, nor for the repetition within the sexes. The only detected difference was for the numbers of flies attracted to the yeasts. So in Table 1 the averages followed by the same letters are not statistically

Pupation site choice (pupation height) was measured as the distance a larva pupated from the surface of the medium. Fifteen isofemale lines of *Drosophila melanogaster* were established from a natural population in the

Table 1 gives the results of an analysis of variance of pupation height for males and females for each of the seven strains tested. The effects of strain and sex were significant. In all strains, the mean male pupation height was higher than the mean female pupation height. There was no significant interaction between strain and sex. The results of an F_{\max} test (Sokal & Rohlf 1969) showed that the variances were homogeneous ($F=2.64$, $p>0.05$).

The observed trend of sex differences in pupation site choice may be due to developmental differences between males and females. Casual observation showed that females tended to emerge before males and Alpatov (1930) found that males pupate before females. There also exist morphological differences between

The present experiment was carried out to confirm previous observations by Belo (1982) on the preference of *D.ananassae* (collected in Olimpia, SP, Brasil) for yeasts, which were classified according to the numbers of flies attracted in "most attractive," "intermediate" and "less attractive." Table 1 shows the

Table 1. Percentage values for the flies attracted to yeast species, transformed in $\text{arc sin } \sqrt{x/100}$.

	Hansenula anomala	Pichia membranaefasciens	Saccharomyces chevalieri	Saccharomyces kluyveri	Torulospora delbrueckii
MALES	5.74	8.13	21.97	31.95	47.87
	9.97	11.54	25.10	45.00	30.00
	14.18	15.34	27.27	44.43	23.58
	5.74	5.74	21.97	60.00	18.43
FEMALES	8.13	5.74	30.00	36.87	36.87
	5.74	5.74	29.33	49.02	8.13
	12.92	18.43	41.55	30.00	22.79
	5.74	9.97	27.27	39.23	35.67
	8.52(a)	10.08(a)	28.06(b)	42.07(c)	27.92(b)

different, but are different otherwise (Tukey's test). Thus, eight tests using an association of yeast species different from that employed by Belo (1982) confirmed the previous finding: males and females did not differ in their preferences for the yeast species; also the "most attractive" species of yeast (*Saccharomyces kluyveri*, *S.chevalieri* and *Torulospora delbrueckii*) were more sought for by the flies than the "intermediate" ones (*Pichia membranaefasciens* and *Hansenula anomala*). On the other hand, among the "most attractive" yeasts, *S.kluyveri* was more attractive to the flies than *S.chevalieri* and *T.delbrueckii*.

References: Belo, M. & P.M.Lacava 1980, DIS 55:146-147; Belo, M. & P.M.Lacava 1982, *Naturalia* (UNESP-Sao Paulo, Brasil) 7:35-45; Belo, M. 1982, Free-Doctent Thesis (UNESP-Campus de Jaboticabal, SP, Brasil). [Work supported by CNPq-PIG-IV]

Berry, T. and M.Snyder. University of Oregon, Eugene. Treatment for bacterial contamination.

Persistent bacterial contamination, presumably by *Achromobacter*, is a common problem. It is characterized by a brown discoloration of the medium along with a decreased yield. Hendrix & Ehrlich (DIS 40:99) have found a mixture of

certain antibiotics added directly to the medium when it is made to be an effective measure against it.

We have modified, and, it appears, improved the effectiveness of their procedure somewhat by applying the antibiotics directly with an atomizer in addition to adding it to the medium. We make the antibiotic solutions as follows: (1) streptomycin solution: 13.8 grams of dihydrostreptomycin sulfate in 100 ml of water, and (2) penicillin/tetracycline solution: 4.25 grams of penicillin G potassium and 2.00 grams of tetracycline hydrochloride both in 400 ml of 95% ethanol.

Add three parts of (1) to one part of (2) in an atomizer. Mist each container with one spray immediately after the adults have been removed after 2-3 days of egg-laying. Development time may be delayed about two days at 25C, but the yield does not appear to be appreciably decreased.

